

Introduction

Turtle Lake Aquatic Macrophyte Survey

Prepared for
Turtle Lake Homeowners Association

July 1999

A macrophyte survey was completed in Turtle Lake during July 26 and 27 of 1999 (A previous macrophyte survey was completed on June 30, 1994). The survey evaluated plant coverage, density, and species composition. Plant diversity in the lake was average when compared with other Minnesota lakes. A total of 18 species were found in Turtle Lake during the 1999 survey (14 species found in 1994). Approximately 6 species were found in each sample transect during 1999 and approximately 5 species were found in each sample transect during the 1994 macrophyte survey. Individual species generally occurred in a relatively low density and concurrent growth of many species at each sample location resulted in an overall plant growth of low to moderate density.

Macrophytes in Turtle Lake consisted almost entirely of native species. Only two exotic species (i.e., not native to this region), *Potamogeton crispus* (curly-leaf pondweed) and *Lythrum salicaria* (purple loosestrife) were found.

Potamogeton crispus is an early bloomer so we can surmise that it probably existed in higher densities and over a greater range then that recorded during the survey. Only one plant colony of *Lythrum salicaria* was found during the survey. Exotic or non-native species are undesirable because their natural control mechanisms are not introduced with the species. Consequently, exotic species frequently exhibit rapid unchecked growth patterns, which eliminate native species.

The survey results can be used to develop a macrophyte management plan with the following aquatic plant management goals:

- Improve navigation within the lakes through areas containing dense plant beds
- Improve recreational attributes of the lakes
- Remove or limit current exotic plants (i.e., curly-leaf pondweed)
- Preserve native species and prevent introduction of additional exotic species
- Preserve and/or improve fish and wildlife habitat
- Protect and/or improve quality of the resources for all to enjoy (i.e., people, fish, wildlife)
- Minimize disturbance of sensitive areas (i.e., fish and wildlife)

4700 West 7th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55435
Phone: (612) 833-2600
Fax: (612) 833-2601

BARR

Methods

An aquatic plant (macrophyte) survey of Turtle Lake was completed during July 26 and July 27, 1999. The survey was conducted by Barr Engineering Co. with assistance from volunteer Carl Johnson.

Transects were positioned in locations similar to those of the macrophyte survey which took place on June 30, 1994. The actual locations of the 20 transects selected for the study of Turtle Lake are shown on Figure 1. Transects extended from shore to the maximum depth of plant growth.

- Transects were broken down into the following depth categories:

0 to 2 feet
2 to 5.0 feet
5 to 10 feet (or to the maximum rooting depth)

- Collection of samples, identification of species, and determination of density ratings for each species occurred at all sampling points. The same grid sampling method followed in the previous survey was used this year, *An Evaluation of Survey Techniques for Submerged Aquatic Plants* (Jessen and Lound, 1962). This coverage technique was utilized to assign density ratings in accordance with the following criteria:

Rake Recovery of Species

Rake Recovery of Species	Density Rating
Rake teeth full during all four casts	5
Rake teeth partly full during all four casts	4
Found on rake teeth 3 of 4 casts	3
Found on rake teeth 2 of 4 casts	2
Found on rake teeth 1 of 4 casts	1

- A Global Positioning System (GPS) unit was used in the field to note latitude and longitude readings of each sampling point for future reference.
- Sediment type was determined and recorded for each sampling point.
- Maximum rooting depths were observed at all transects.

Tables 1 presents a summary of the results of the macrophyte survey completed on Turtle Lake in 1999 as well as a comparison to the 1994 survey results. The frequency of occurrences, as shown in the table, is a measure of how often a particular weed species was found after a sampling event. For example, there were 65 sampling points for this survey, and 20 times a particular weed species was found. Therefore, the plant species would then have a frequency of occurrence of 31 percent. The density rating is a measure of how "dense" a particular weed species grows in a particular area.

A density rating of 5 indicates an extremely dense growth and a density ratio of 1 indicates a relatively small amount of growth.

Macrophyte Survey Results and Discussion

Various macrophytes have different plant fuctions. Table 3 provides a summary of the functions for most of the commonly found macrophytes.

- Submersed plants were found in all sample transects during the survey of Turtle Lake.
- Floating-leaf plants were found in only a few of the sample transects during the survey.
 - Emergent plants were found in only a few of the sample transects during the survey.
 - The alga *Chara* was sited in 65 percent transects during the survey.
- The average number of species noted in Turtle Lake during 1999 is indicative of a stable and healthy macrophyte community. Further evidence of a diverse plant community was indicated by the moderate to high number of species found in each transect. The average number of species occurring in each transect was 6.

Although it is difficult to determine any macrophyte trends based on two surveys (1994 and 1999), The 1999 survey does indicate an apparent increase in macrophyte diversity, while the abundance of plant growth seems relatively stable. A diverse macrophyte community that is not in overabundance can increase the water quality and maintain a healthy fish and wildlife habitat.

Table 1

Turtle Lake Macrophyte Survey
Comparison of July 26 and 27, 1999 to June 30, 1994 data ()

Plant Species Scientific Name	Plant Species Common Name	Frequency of Occurrence* (%)	Species Mean Density Rating** (1-5)
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	Coontail	9 (8)	1.7 (1.2)
<i>Chara spp.</i>	Chara	65 (34)	2.8 (3.7)
<i>Elatostachys spp.</i>	Spikerush	8 (NF)	1.2 (NF)
<i>Elodea canadensis</i>	Elodea	3 (9)	1.5 (2.2)
<i>Myriophyllum sibiricum</i>	Northern water milfoil	12 (18)	1.5 (2.2)
<i>Najas flexilis</i>	Naiaids	75 (23)	2.6 (2.0)
<i>Potamogeton crispus</i>	Curly leaf pondweed	3 (2)	1.0 (1.0)
<i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i>	Illinois pondweed	31 (8)	1.6 (1.6)
<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	Sago pondweed	2 (8)	2.0 (1.0)
<i>Potamogeton spp.</i>	Narrow-leaved pondweeds	8 (NF)	1.0 (NF)
<i>Potamogeton richardsonii</i>	Clasping leaf pondweed	22 (20)	1.5 (2.2)
<i>Potamogeton robbinsii</i>	Fern pondweed	3 (NF)	1.0 (NF)
<i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i>	Flat stem pondweed	14 (9)	1.7 (1.3)
<i>Ranunculus spp.</i>	Buttercup	5 (2)	1.0 (4.0)
<i>Sagittaria graminea</i>	Slender arrowhead	8 (NF)	1.0 (NF)
<i>Sagittaria spp.</i>	Arrowhead	5 (NF)	1.5 (NF)
<i>Valisneria americana</i>	Water celery	5 (2)	2.3 (1.0)
<i>Zosteraeula dubia</i>	Water star grass	15 (9)	1.4 (2.5)

* The percentage of all sample points where a species has occurred.

** An average of the density ratings from the sample points where each species was found.

(NF) Not found in the 1994 survey

Table 2

Long-Term Trend Lake Macrophyte Data
Sample Point Data

Lake Name: Turtle Lake	County Name: Ramsey			
Date: 7/26/99				
Note Taker: CAJ				
Field Crew: MEW, Carl Johnson				
Transect	Depth Code	Depth (ft.)	Substrate	Field Crew/Density Rating
1	A	8.5	Silt/Sand	<i>Chara</i> sp./4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Crispus</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton Illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Ceratophyllum Demersum</i> /1 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /1
	B	3.0	Sand	<i>Sagittaria</i> sp./2 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3 <i>Chara</i> sp./1 <i>Potamogeton Illinoensis</i> /2
	C	2.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
2	A	8.5	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp./3 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1 <i>Ceratophyllum Demersum</i> /1
	B	4.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp./3 <i>Sagittaria</i> sp./1
	C	2.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
3	A	8.5	Sand	<i>Chara</i> sp./4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Illinoensis</i> /1
	B	3.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
	C	2.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
4	A	9.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3 <i>Chara</i> sp./2 <i>Potamogeton</i> sp./1
	B	4.5	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
	C	2.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
5	A	8.5	Sand	<i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /3 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /3 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Zosteraeula</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp./2 <i>Potamogeton</i> Illinoensis/1
	B	5.0	Sand	<i>Chara</i> sp./4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3 <i>Potamogeton</i> Robbinisi/1 <i>Sagittaria</i> Graminea/1

Table 2 (continued)

Long-term Trend Lake Macrophyte Data
Sample Point Data

Lake Name: Turtle Lake

Date: 7/26/99

Note Taker: CAJ

County Name: Ramsey

Lake Name: Turtle Lake

Date: 7/26/99

Note Taker: CAJ

County Name: Ramsey

Long-term Trend Lake Macrophyte Data
Sample Point Data

Lake Name: Turtle Lake

Date: 7/26/99

Note Taker: CAJ

County Name: Ramsey

Field Crew: MEW, Carl Johnson

Field Crew: MEW, Carl Johnson

Transect	Depth Code	Depth (ft.)	Substrate	Species/Density Rating
5 (cont.)	C	2.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /2 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1
6	A	10.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /1 <i>Potamogeton</i> sp. /1 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /1
	B	4.5	Sand	<i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Eleocharis</i> sp. /2 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /1
	C	1.5	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
7	A	11.0	Sand	<i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /2 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2
	B	3.5	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
	C	1.5	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
8	A	7.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
	AA	90	Silt	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /1 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /1 <i>Zosterella Dubia</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Ceratophyllum Demersum</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1
	B	3.5	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Sagittaria Graminea</i> /1
	C	1.5	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
9	A	7.5	Sand	<i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1
	B	3.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
	C	2.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE

Transect	Depth Code	Depth (ft.)	Substrate	Species/Density Rating
10	A	7.5	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
	B	4.0	Silt	<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /4 <i>Ranunculus</i> sp. /1
	C	2.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp. /3
11	1	10.5	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp. /1
	AA	7.5	Sand	<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3
	B	3.5	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
	C	2.0	Sand	<i>Sagittaria Graminea</i> /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Zosterella Dubia</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /1 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Eleocharis</i> sp. /1
12	A	9.0	Silt	<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Ceratophyllum Demersum</i> /2 <i>Zosterella Dubia</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton Crispus</i> /1
	B	3.5	Sand	<i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Eleocharis</i> sp. /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1
	C	2.0	Sand	NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
13	A	8.0	Silt	<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
	B	4.5	Silt	<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /2 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1
	C	2.0	Sand	<i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1

Table 2 (continued)

Long-term Trend Lake Macrophyte Data
Sample Point Data

Lake Name: Turtle Lake

Date: 7/26/99

Note Taker: CAJ

County Name: Ramsey

Lake Name: Turtle Lake

Date: 7/26/99

Note Taker: CAJ

County Name: Ramsey

County Name: Ramsey

Field Crew: MEW, Carl Johnson

Field Crew: MEW, Carl Johnson

Field Crew: MEW, Carl Johnson

Transect	Depth Code	Depth (ft.)	Substrate	Species/Density Rating
14	A	8.0	Silt	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /3 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /3 <i>Chara</i> sp. /2 <i>Potamogeton Robinsii</i> /1
AA	7.0	Silt		<i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /1
B	3.0	Silt		<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4
C	2.0	Sand		<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3
15	A	9.0	Sand	<i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
B	3.5	Sand		NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
C	2.0	Sand		NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE
16	A	9.0	Silt	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp. /2
B	4.0	Sand		<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
C	2.0	Sand		<i>Ceratophyllum Demersum</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Endea Canadensis</i> /1
17	A	7.0	Silt	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Vallisneria Americana</i> /1 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Zosterella Dubia</i> /1
B	4.5	Silt		<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /2
C	2.0	Sand		<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1

Transect	Depth Code	Depth (ft.)	Substrate	Species/Density Rating
18	A	7.5	Sand	<i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /1
AA	12.0	Sand		<i>Zosteraella Dubia</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2
B	4.5	Silt		<i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Potamogeton illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Eleocharis</i> sp. /1
C	2.0	Sand		<i>Zosteraella Dubia</i> /1 <i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Sagittaria Graminea</i> /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Endea Canadensis</i> /2 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /3 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /1
19	A	11.0	Silt	<i>Chara</i> sp. /2 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /3 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /4 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /2 <i>Ceratophyllum Demersum</i> /4
B	4.0	Sandy Muck		<i>Potamogeton Pectinatus</i> /2 <i>Zosterella Dubia</i> /3 <i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Ranunculus</i> sp. /1 <i>Vallisneria Americana</i> /4 <i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Ranunculus</i> sp. /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton</i> sp. /1
C	2.0	Sand		<i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /1
20	A	6.5	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /1
B	4.0	Sand		NO MACROPHYTE FOUND IN DEPTH CODE

Table 2 (continued)

Long-term Trend Lake Macrophyte Data
Sample Point Data

Transsect	Depth Code	Depth (ft.)	Substrate	Species/Density Rating
20 (cont.)	BB	4.5	Sand	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> /1 <i>Valisneria Americana</i> /2 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /4 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /2 <i>Myriophyllum Sibiricum</i> /1 <i>Ranunculus</i> sp. /1 <i>Najas Flexilis</i> /3 <i>Potamogeton Illinoensis</i> /1 <i>Zostera</i> sp. /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Eleocharis</i> sp. /1 <i>Potamogeton Richardsonii</i> /1 <i>Sagittaria Graminea</i> /1
	C	2.0	Sand	<i>Najas Flexilis</i> /2 <i>Chara</i> sp. /3 <i>Potamogeton Zosteriformis</i> /2

Table 3

Functions of Aquatic Plant Species

Scientific Name (Common Name)	Plant Type	Plant Functions
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> (Coontail)	Submersed	Many waterfowl species eat the shoots; it provides cover for young bluegills, perch, largemouth bass, and northern pike; supports insects that fish and ducklings eat.
<i>Chara</i> spp. (Muskgrass)	Submersed	Muskgrass is a favorite waterfowl food. Algae and invertebrates found on muskgrass provide additional grazing. It is also considered valuable fish habitat. Bees of muskgrass offer cover and are excellent producers of food, especially for largemouth bass and smallmouth bass.
<i>Eleocharis canadensis</i> (Canadian Waterweed)	Submersed	Provides habitat for many small aquatic animals, which fish and wildlife eat. Star duckweed is a good food source for waterfowl. Tangled masses of fronds also provide cover for fish and invertebrates.
<i>Lemna trisulca</i> (Star Duckweed)	Floating-leaf	Star duckweed is a good food source for waterfowl. Tangled masses of fronds also provide cover for fish and invertebrates.
<i>Lemna</i> sp. (Duckweed)	Floating-leaf	Small duckweed is a nutritious food source that can provide up to 90% of the dietary needs for a variety of ducks and geese. It is also consumed by muskrat, beaver and fish. Rats of duckweed offer shade and cover for fish and invertebrates. Extensive mats of duckweed can also inhibit mosquito breeding.
<i>Myriophyllum sibiricum</i> (formerly <i>exaltebeensis</i>) (Northern Milfoil)	Submersed	Provides cover for fish and invertebrates; supports insects and other small animals eaten by fish; waterfowl occasionally eat the fruit and foliage.
<i>Najas flexilis</i> (Spiny Nata, Bushy Pondweed)	Submersed	Bushy pondweed is one of the most important plants for waterfowl. Stems, leaves and seeds are all consumed by a wide variety of ducks including black duck, bufflehead, canvasback, gadwall, mallard, pintail, redhead, ring-necked duck, scaup, shoveler, blue-winged teal, green-winged teal, wigeon and wood duck. It is also important to a variety of marsh birds as well as muskrats. Slender nata is a good producer of food and shelter for fish.
<i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i> (Large-leaf Pondweed, Bass Weed, Musky Weed)	Submersed	The broad leaves of <i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i> offer shade, shelter and foraging opportunities for fish. Abundant production of large nutrients makes this a valuable waterfowl food.
<i>Potamogeton crispus</i> (Curly-leaf Pondweed)	Submersed	Provides some cover for fish; several waterfowl species feed on the seeds; diving ducks often eat the winter buds.

Table 3 (cont.)

Functions of Aquatic Plant Species

Scientific Name (Common Name)	Plant Type	Plant Functions
<i>Potamogeton richardsonii</i> (Clasping-leaf Pondweed)	Submerged	Broad-leaf pondweeds provide excellent habitat for panfish, largemouth bass, muskellunge, and northern pike; bluegills nest near these plants and eat insects and other small animals found on the leaves; walleyes use these pondweeds for cover.
<i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i> (Flatstem Pondweed), <i>Potamogeton pusillus</i> (Narrow-leaf Pondweed)	Submersed	Provides some cover for bluegills, perch, northern pike, and muskellunge, though these fish prefer broadleaf pondweeds; good cover for walleye; provide food for waterfowl; support aquatic insects and many small animals that fish and ducklings eat.
<i>Ranunculus</i> spp. (Water Crowfoot or Buttercup)	Submersed	As flowers give way to fruit, the water crowfoot bed becomes a choice spot for dabbling ducks. Both fruit and foliage of water crowfoot are consumed by a variety of waterfowl. When it is growing in shallow zones, it is sometimes consumed by upland game birds including ruffed grouse. Stems and leaves of water crowfoot provide valuable invertebrate habitat.
<i>Sagittaria</i> spp. (Arrowhead)	Emergent	Tubers, nutlets and other parts are eaten by waterfowl. Stems, roots and tubers are eaten by muskrats, porcupine and beaver. It also provides shade and shelter for young fish.
<i>Vallisneria americana</i> (Wild Celery)	Submersed	Provides shade and shelter for bluegills, young perch, and largemouth bass; choice food of waterfowl, particularly diving ducks; attracts muskrats, marsh birds, and shore birds.
<i>Zostera</i> spp. (Water Star Grass)	Submersed	Water star grass can be a locally important source of food for geese and ducks including northern pintail, blue-winged teal and wood duck. It also offers good cover and foraging opportunities for fish.

